

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

BRUCE A. ERICSON #76342

2 DAVID L. ANDERSON #149604

JACOB R. SORESENSEN #209134

3 MARC H. AXELBAUM #209855

DANIEL J. RICHERT #232208

4 50 Fremont Street

Post Office Box 7880

5 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880

Telephone: (415) 983-1000

6 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200

7 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

DAVID W. CARPENTER (admitted *pro hac vice*)

8 BRADFORD A. BERENSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)

EDWARD R. MCNICHOLAS (admitted *pro hac vice*)

9 DAVID LEE LAWSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)

ERIC A. SHUMSKY #206124

10 1501 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005

11 Telephone: (202) 736-8010

12 Facsimile: (202) 736-8711

13 Attorneys for the AT&T Defendants

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 In re:

18 NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY  
19 TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS  
20 LITIGATION

21 This Document Relates To:

22 06-0672 06-5269 06-6222 06-6924

23 06-3467 06-5340 06-6224 06-7934

24 06-3596 06-5343 06-6294 07-1243

06-5065 06-5452 06-6385

25 06-5067 06-5485 06-6387

06-5268 06-5576 06-6570

26 *Mayer v. Verizon Communications, Inc., et al.*,  
27 No. 06-3650 (S.D.N.Y.) (N.D. Cal. case no. not  
yet assigned)

MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791-VRW

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~  
ORDER STAYING ALL CASES  
(EXCEPT *HEPTING*) AGAINST AT&T  
DEFENDANTS**

[Civil L.R. 6-2, 7-1(5), 7-12]

Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor

Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker

## RECITALS

A. On November 8, 2006, the United States filed a motion to stay all proceedings in this MDL pending the resolution of the appeal of this Court's July 20, 2006 order in *Hepting v. AT&T Corp.*, 439 F. Supp. 2d 974 (N.D. Cal. 2006) ("*Hepting* Order"). Dkt. 67. On December 22, 2006, Defendant AT&T Corp. filed a joinder in the United States' motion to stay. Dkt. 100. On January 17, 2007, Plaintiffs filed their opposition to the motion to stay. Dkt. 128.

B. Oral argument on the motion to stay was held on February 9, 2007. Dkt. 161. On February 20, 2007, this Court entered an order granting in part, and denying in part, the motion to stay ("*Stay* Order"). Dkt. 172.

C. The Stay Order provides: "With respect to *Hepting v. AT&T*, 06-672, the court imposes a limited stay. If plaintiffs propound a limited and targeted set of interrogatories . . . , the court will entertain plaintiffs' motion to lift the stay for the purpose of requiring a response. Any such motion shall describe why the discovery will not moot the issues on interlocutory appeal to the Ninth Circuit. After consideration of such motion, the court will determine whether to call for opposition to be filed by defendants." Dkt. 172 at 1.

D. The Stay Order further provides: "With respect to all other cases in MDL 1791, the court will enter an order staying proceedings pending resolution of the interlocutory appeal in *Hepting* if the parties stipulate to a stay." Dkt. 172 at 2.

E. In furtherance of judicial economy, Plaintiffs, the AT&T Defendants<sup>1</sup> and the United States agree to stay all cases, except for *Hepting*, against the AT&T Defendants.

## STIPULATION

Plaintiffs, the AT&T Defendants and the United States hereby stipulate as follows:

---

<sup>1</sup> "AT&T Defendants" refers to all of the AT&T defendants in this MDL, as defined in footnote 3 of the previously filed Joint Case Management Statement. Dkt. 61 at 2.

1           1.       All cases pending against the AT&T Defendants, except for *Hepting v.*  
 2 *AT&T Corp.*, No. 06-0672, shall be stayed against the AT&T Defendants until 30 days after  
 3 entry of the Ninth Circuit's judgment in *Hepting* (Ninth Cir. App. Case Nos. 06-80109 &  
 4 06-80110 ("*Hepting Appeals*")). Plaintiffs shall promptly notify the AT&T Defendants and  
 5 the United States whether they intend to file a consolidated complaint against the AT&T  
 6 Defendants or will stand on the existing individual complaints against them. If the  
 7 Plaintiffs elect to file a consolidated complaint, the AT&T Defendants shall have 30 days  
 8 after it is filed to respond to it. If the Plaintiffs elect to stand on the existing individual  
 9 complaints, then the AT&T Defendants shall have 45 days after the Plaintiffs notify them  
 10 of that decision to respond to the complaints. This stay may be modified by the Court on  
 11 good cause shown by any party to the actions affected.

12           2.       This stay shall encompass any proceedings against AT&T Defendants  
 13 (except *Hepting*) in any cases currently pending in this MDL, No. 06-1791-VRW. The  
 14 following cases that include AT&T Defendants have been transferred to this Court:  
 15 *Hepting*, 06-0672; *Roe*, 06-3467; *Campbell*, 06-3596; *Mahoney*, 06-5065; *Souder*, 06-5067;  
 16 *Trevino*, 06-5268; *Dolberg*, 06-5269; *Terkel*, 06-5340; *Herron*, 06-5343; *Harrington*, 06-  
 17 5452; *Joll*, 06-5485; *Conner*, 06-5576; *Cross*, 06-6222; *Cross*, 06-6224; *Waxman*, 06-6294;  
 18 *Fortnash*, 06-6385; *Dubois*, 06-6387; *Chulsky*, 06-6570; *Hardy*, 06-6924; *Mink*, 06-7934;  
 19 *Roche*, 07-1243; and *Mayer v. Verizon Communications, Inc., et al.*, No. 06-3650  
 20 (S.D.N.Y.) (N.D. Cal. case no. not yet assigned). This stay does not affect any claims in  
 21 any of the above-referenced cases against non-AT&T Defendants, nor any cases in which  
 22 the United States is a plaintiff, nor *Clayton v. AT&T Communications of the Southwest,*  
 23 *Inc.*, 07-1187.

24           3.       In the event Plaintiffs are allowed discovery in *Hepting* through the  
 25 mechanism set forth in the Stay Order, Plaintiffs may direct such discovery toward any of  
 26 the AT&T Defendants, regardless of whether they have been named as defendants in  
 27 *Hepting*, and such AT&T Defendants shall respond and/or object to such discovery to the  
 28

1 extent required by law and further order of this Court, and such responses shall be deemed  
2 applicable and useable in any of the cases against such AT&T Defendant.

3 4. By entering into this Stipulation, the AT&T Defendants and the United  
4 States do not waive any procedural or substantive defenses, rights or objections with respect  
5 to the discovery that plaintiffs may seek in *Hepting*, or with respect to any non-*Hepting*  
6 case against an AT&T defendant, including, but not limited to, the right to challenge  
7 personal jurisdiction over any particular AT&T defendant and the right to appeal any order  
8 of this Court granting any discovery to Plaintiffs. AT&T Defendants do, however, waive  
9 any objection to such discovery on the basis that any particular defendant was not named as  
10 a defendant in *Hepting*.

**DECLARATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45, § X.B**

I, MARC H. AXELBAUM, hereby declare pursuant to General Order 45, § X.B, that I have obtained the concurrence in the filing of this document from each of the other signatories listed below.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.

Executed on March 8, 2007, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Marc H. Axelbaum

Marc H. Axelbaum

Dated: March 8, 2007.

PETER D. KEISLER  
Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division  
CARL J. NICHOLS  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
DOUGLAS N. LETTER  
Terrorism Litigation Counsel  
JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Director, Federal Programs Branch  
ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO  
Special Litigation Counsel  
ANDREW H. TANNENBAUM  
Trial Attorney  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.  
Room 6102  
Washington, DC 20001  
Telephone: (202) 514-4782  
Fax: (202) 616-8460  
Email: tony.coppolino@usdoj.gov

By /s/ Anthony J. Coppolino per G.O. 45  
Anthony J. Coppolino

Attorneys for United States of America, National  
Security Agency, President George W. Bush

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
BRUCE A. ERICSON  
DAVID L. ANDERSON  
JACOB R. SORENSEN  
MARC H. AXELBAUM  
DANIEL J. RICHERT  
50 Fremont Street  
Post Office Box 7880  
San Francisco, CA 94120-7880

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
DAVID W. CARPENTER  
DAVID L. LAWSON  
BRADFORD A. BERENSON  
EDWARD R. McNICHOLAS  
1501 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005

By /s/ Marc H. Axelbaum  
Marc H. Axelbaum

Attorneys for the AT&T Defendants

ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION  
CINDY COHN (145997)  
LEE TIEN (148216)  
KURT OPSAHL (191303)  
KEVIN S. BANKSTON (217026)  
CORYNNE MCSHERRY (221504)  
JAMES S. TYRE (083117)  
454 Shotwell Street  
San Francisco, CA 94110  
Telephone: (415) 436-9333  
Fax: (415) 436-9993

By /s/ Cindy Cohn per G.O. 45  
Cindy Cohn

Attorneys for AT&T Class Plaintiffs and Co-Chair of  
Plaintiffs' Executive Committee  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA  
ANN BRICK (65296)  
MARK SCHLOSBERG (209144)  
NICOLE A. OZER (228643)  
39 Drumm Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 621-2493  
Fax: (415) 255-8437

By /s/ Ann Brick per G.O. 45  
Ann Brick

Attorneys for Plaintiffs in *Campbell v. AT&T  
Communications of California, et al.*, No. 06-3596

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation, and good cause appearing, the Court orders the following:

1. All cases pending against the AT&T Defendants, except for *Hepting v. AT&T Corp.*, No. 06-0672, shall be stayed against the AT&T Defendants until 30 days after entry of the Ninth Circuit's judgment in *Hepting* (Ninth Cir. App. Case Nos. 06-80109 & 06-80110 ("*Hepting Appeals*")). Plaintiffs shall promptly notify the AT&T Defendants and the United States whether they intend to file a consolidated complaint against the AT&T Defendants or will stand on the existing individual complaints against them. If the Plaintiffs elect to file a consolidated complaint, the AT&T Defendants shall have 30 days after it is filed to respond to it. If the Plaintiffs elect to stand on the existing individual complaints, then the AT&T Defendants shall have 45 days after the Plaintiffs notify them of that decision to respond to the complaints. This stay may be modified by the Court on good cause shown by any party to the actions affected.

2. This stay shall encompass any proceedings against AT&T Defendants (except *Hepting*) in any cases currently pending in this MDL, No. 06-1791-VRW. The following cases that include AT&T Defendants have been transferred to this Court: *Hepting*, 06-0672; *Roe*, 06-3467; *Campbell*, 06-3596; *Mahoney*, 06-5065; *Souder*, 06-5067; *Trevino*, 06-5268; *Dolberg*, 06-5269; *Terkel*, 06-5340; *Herron*, 06-5343; *Harrington*, 06-5452; *Joll*, 06-5485; *Conner*, 06-5576; *Cross*, 06-6222; *Cross*, 06-6224; *Waxman*, 06-6294; *Fortnash*, 06-6385; *Dubois*, 06-6387; *Chulsky*, 06-6570; *Hardy*, 06-6924; *Mink*, 06-7934; *Roche*, 07-1243; and *Mayer v. Verizon Communications, Inc., et al.*, No. 06-3650 (S.D.N.Y.) (N.D. Cal. case no. not yet assigned). This stay does not affect any claims in any of the above-referenced cases against non-AT&T Defendants, nor any cases in which the United States is a plaintiff, nor *Clayton v. AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc.*, 07-1187.

1           3.       In the event Plaintiffs are allowed discovery in *Hepting* through the  
2 mechanism set forth in the Stay Order, Plaintiffs may direct such discovery toward any of  
3 the AT&T Defendants, regardless of whether they have been named as defendants in  
4 *Hepting*, and such AT&T Defendants shall respond and/or object to such discovery to the  
5 extent required by law and further order of this Court, and such responses shall be deemed  
6 applicable and useable in any of the cases against such AT&T Defendant.

7           4.       By entering into this Stipulation, the AT&T Defendants and the United  
8 States have not waived any procedural or substantive defenses, rights or objections with  
9 respect to the discovery that plaintiffs may seek in *Hepting*, or with respect to any non-  
10 *Hepting* case against an AT&T defendant, including, but not limited to, the right to  
11 challenge personal jurisdiction over any particular AT&T defendant and the right to appeal  
12 any order of this Court granting any discovery to Plaintiffs. The AT&T Defendants have,  
13 however, waived any objection to such discovery on the basis that any particular defendant  
14 was not named as a defendant in *Hepting*.

15           **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16           Dated: March <sup>14</sup>\_\_, 2007.

17  
18 

19           \_\_\_\_\_  
20           Hon. Vaughn R. Walker  
21           United States District Chief Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28